

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/697,290		10/31/2003	Stefan Johansson	1503-1054	8453
466	7590	01/05/2006		EXAMINER	
YOUNG &	tHOM	PSON	DOUGHERTY, THOMAS M		
745 SOUTH 2ND FLOO		TREET		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ARLINGTO	ON, VA	22202		2834	
				DATE MAILED: 01/05/2000	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			H/A				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/697,290	JOHANSSON, STE	-AN				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Thomas M. Dougherty	2834					
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence addi	ress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a regility of the provision of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a re ply within the statutory minimum of thirty d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT ite. cause the application to become AB	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this com NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	munication.				
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14:	September 2005.						
,	is action is non-final.						
•	- ''						
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3-7,9-16 and 18-26</u> is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) <u>1, 3-7, 9-16 and 18-26</u> is/are rejecte	d.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement						
o) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin							
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>31 October 2003</u> is/ard			•				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			1 101/4)				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre							
The dath of declaration is objected to by the L	Zammer. Note the attached	Office Action of form 1 Te	7-132.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 		119(a)-(d) or (f).					
2. Certified copies of the priority documer	nts have been received in Ap	plication No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri		eceived in this National S	tage				
application from the International Burea							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	st of the certified copies not r	eceived.					
Attachment(s)	-						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		ımmary (PTO-413) /Mail Date					
Notice of Draitsperson's Patent Drawing Newtow (FTO-940) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date		ormal Patent Application (PTO-1	152)				

Art Unit: 2834

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 9/14/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. On page 12 of the REMARKS, the applicants, in the discussion of the Robertson reference ('274), note that Robertson's peristaltic wave is a pressure wave, "where the length change is accompanied by a reduction in the diameter, essentially according to basic elastic properties". Further it is noted: "There are no piezoelectric materials that exhibit the property of simultaneously increasing both length and diameter." The amended claim 1 now note that there is "a change of the dimension difference between the peristaltic actuating element and the body having a component in a dimension essentially parallel to the main motion direction". Robertson meets this recitation: his piezoelectric elements expand in a length direction; they experience a reduction in diameter at this time. Thus there is a change of dimension difference between the peristaltic actuating element (its diameter) and the body, and that change is in a dimension essentially parallel to the main motion direction since the piezoelectric peristaltic elements form a sleeve about the body. Additionally, the applicants claim that "the volumes of the piezoelectric material and the electrodes being arranged to further cause the interaction surface within the peristaltic section to be removed from the body to be moved with the peristaltic section simultaneously as the change in dimension difference parallel to the main motion direction". As the Robertson invention forms a pressure wave by expansion of elements in a linear fashion, as those elements expand, their diameters narrow, thereby lifting an interaction surface off the movable member.

Art Unit: 2834

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 3-7, 9, 10, 11, 13, 14, 16, 18, 19, 21, 23 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Robertson (US 3,389,274). Robertson shows (figs. 4, 5) an piezoelectric actuator, comprising; a body (51); a peristaltic actuating element (52) extended in a main motion direction; the peristaltic actuating element (52) in turn comprising: interaction surface with the body (51); volumes of piezoelectric material (53-64); electrodes (65) for excitation of the volumes of piezoelectric material (53-64); and control means (66-70) for supplying voltage signals to the electrodes (65); the volumes of piezoelectric material (53-64) and the electrodes (65) being arranged to cause the peristaltic actuating element to change a dimension difference between the peristaltic actuating element (52) and the body (51) parallel to the main motion direction. The change of the dimension difference between the peristaltic actuating element (52) and the body (51) has a component in a dimension essentially parallel to the main motion direction. See col. 3, II. 55-62. The volumes of piezoelectric material (53-64) and the electrodes (65) being arranged to further cause the interaction surface within the peristaltic section (an of 53-64) to be removed from the

Art Unit: 2834

body (51) to be moved within the peristaltic section (any of 53-64) simultaneously as the change in dimension difference parallel to the main motion direction. Again see the discussion at col. 3, II. 55-62.

The change of the dimension difference between the peristaltic actuating element (52) and the body (51) has a component in a dimension essentially orthogonal to the main motion direction. Note that the diameter change of the individual actuating elements is orthogonal to the main motion direction.

The length of the peristaltic section (any of 53-64) is less than half an entire length of the peristaltic actuating element (51).

The length of the peristaltic section (53-64) is considerably less than half the entire length of the peristaltic actuating element (52).

The change of dimension difference along the main motion direction is caused by a contraction of the peristaltic section.

The change of dimension difference along the main motion direction is caused by an expansion of the peristaltic section. Again see col. 3, II. 55-62.

The volumes (53-64) themselves constitute the dominating part of the peristaltic actuating element (52).

The peristaltic actuating element (52) in turn comprises a continuous body of elastic material to which the at least one volume of electromechanical material (53-64) is attached.

A contraction of the peristaltic actuating element perpendicular to the main motion direction causes the interaction surface within the peristaltic section to be

Art Unit: 2834

removed from the body (51). Note that this depends on the voltage application to the actuating elements. The elements can be driven such that they expand or contract.

The interaction surface is a continuous interaction surface along substantially the entire peristaltic actuating element (52) in the main motion direction.

The interaction surface is a sectioned interaction surface, whereby the interaction surface sections being dispersed along substantially the entire peristaltic actuating element in the main motion direction. Note that the interaction surface is yet continuous as the sections (53-64) are continuously connected.

Said dimension change in the second direction is a contraction, as noted above.

Robertson teaches a method of driving a peristaltic actuator, comprising the steps of: positioning a peristaltic actuating (52) element against a body (51), the peristaltic element (52) having piezoelectric volumes (53-64) arranged for locally changing a dimension difference between the peristaltic actuating element (52) and the body when activated; selectively activate (via 66-69) the piezoelectric volumes (53-64) for moving a peristaltic section (53-64) in which the dimension change is present substantially continuously along the peristaltic actuating element (52) parallel to a first direction; whereby the peristaltic actuating element (52) remaining in non-sliding contact with the body (51) by sections of the peristaltic element (52) outside the peristaltic section (53-64). The change of the dimension difference between the peristaltic actuating element (52) and the body (51) has a component in a dimension essentially parallel to the first direction. See again, col. 3, II. 55-62. Said change in dimension

Art Unit: 2834

difference is caused by an expansion of the peristaltic actuating element (52). Again see col. 3, II. 55-62.

The change of the dimension difference between the peristaltic actuating element (52) and the body (51) has a component in a dimension essentially orthogonal to the first direction.

As noted, the change in dimension difference is caused by a contraction of the peristaltic actuating element, dependent on the voltage application levels applied to the actuating elements.

A further step is: imposing a dimension change of the peristaltic actuating element within the peristaltic section in a second direction, different from the first direction, simultaneously as the step of causing the dimension difference change. As noted, when the actuating element is lengthened (or compressed) there is a longitudinal change as well as a corresponding change in the diameter of the element.

Said dimension change in the second direction is a contraction.

As noted above, the length of the peristaltic section (53-64) is less than half an entire length of the peristaltic actuating element (52).

As noted above, the length of the peristaltic section (53-64) is considerably less than half the entire length of the peristaltic actuating element (52).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the

Art Unit: 2834

invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 20 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Robertson (US 3,389,274). Given the invention of Robertson as noted above, he doesn't discuss the method of contracting his peristaltic actuating element. As noted however, to do such is simply an equivalent method of driving the device, and as it involves a simple voltage application to the actuating elements to cause them to contract instead of expand, such a method is within the skills of a routineer in the art.

Claims 12, 15, 25 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Robertson (US 3,389,274) in view of Baudendistel (US 6,664,711). Given the invention of Robertson as noted above, he doesn't show an interaction surface with an interaction body which is removed from the interaction surface when driven. He doesn't show geometrically-shaped coupling components. He doesn't show specific bending.

Baudendistel shows (fig. 1, 2) an interaction surface (12) with an interaction body which are removed from the interaction surface (14) when driven. He shows bending of his element 12. He shows geometrically-shaped coupling components similar to the applicants' 82 and 84 in figure 8 for example.

It is not clear that he drives the motor in a peristaltic fashion and he doesn't show specific control means.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to arrange the interaction body (which in Robertson comprises a peristaltic actuating element) which is connectable and separable from the interaction surface in the device of Robertson such

Art Unit: 2834

as is shown by Baudendistel because this is a means to drive a rotor that "provides a more robust and more smoothly operating motor" etc. as he notes in col. 2, lines 4-7.

It would have alternatively been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to employ the peristaltic actuator and control means of Robertson in the device of Baudendistel at the time of his invention since Baudendistel notes no clamping type holding means, as Robertson notes.

Conclusion

This is not a final rejection.

Direct inquiry to Examiner Dougherty at (571) 272-2022.

December 29, 2005

Thomas M. Quylerty
PRIMARY EXAMINER